UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,141	09/11/2003	Sebastien Perrot	PF030065	4968	
24498 Robert D. Shed	7590 03/16/200 d	EXAMINER			
Thomson Licen		ADDY, ANTHONY S			
PO Box 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,141	PERROT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANTHONY S. ADDY	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/660,141 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 03, 2009 has been entered. Claims 1-9 are pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on February 03, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that, "Nowhere does Meier show or suggest the access point device performs association of wireless devices, and that the bridge device is adapted to be associated with the access point device (see page 4, first paragraph of the response)," examiner respectfully disagrees and maintains that Meier teaches and meets the limitations as claimed. Examiner reiterates that Meier teaches a bridge device (WDAP_s 441) for connecting a centralized wireless network (*e.g.*, *OWL radio network 421*) to a plurality of other networks (*subnets 401 and 403*) (see col. 10, lines 17-30, col. 20, lines 28-34, col. 24, lines 29-41, col. 25, lines 8-10 and Fig. 9; shows a wireless domain access point (WDAP_s 441) [*i.e.*, reads on a bridge device for

Art Unit: 2617

connecting a centralized wireless network 421 to a plurality of other wired networks 401 & 403]), said centralized wireless network comprising: only one access point device (WDAP_P 425), other than the bridge device, said access point device being adapted to manage the centralized wireless network and to perform association of wireless devices (e.g., provide communication among a plurality of wireless communication devices such as MRC's 445 & 447 and WCD's), wherein association allows a wireless device (e.g., a WCD) to allow said wireless device to be a member of the centralized wireless network (i.e., OWL radio network 421) and to communicate with other members (e.g., WCD's, MRC's and WMAP's) of the centralized wireless network (see col. 24, lines 31-41, col. 26, lines 21-25 and Fig. 9), hence the rejections using Meier are proper and maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meier,
 U.S. Patent Number 6,400,702 (hereinafter Meier).

Regarding claim 1, Meier teaches a bridge device (WDAP_s 441) for connecting a centralized wireless network (*OWL radio network 421*) to a plurality of other networks (*subnets 401 and 403*) (see col. 10, lines 17-30, col. 20, lines 28-34, col. 24, lines 29-41, col. 25, lines 8-10 and Fig. 9; shows a wireless domain access point (WDAP_s 441) [i.e. reads on a bridge device for connecting a centralized wireless network 421 to a plurality of other wired networks 401 & 403]), each of said other networks having

Art Unit: 2617

devices which can communicate with each other (see col. 22, lines 50-55 and col. 26, lines 20-25), said centralized wireless network comprising: only one access point device (WDAP_P 425), other than the bridge device, said access point device being adapted to manage the centralized wireless network and to perform association of wireless devices (e.g., provide communication among a plurality of wireless communication devices such as MRC's 445 & 447 and WCD's), wherein association allows a wireless device (e.g., a WCD) to allow said wireless device to be a member of the centralized wireless network (i.e., OWL radio network 421) and to communicate with other members (e.g., WCD's, MRC's and WMAP's) of the centralized wireless network (see col. 24, line 31 through col. 26, line 25 and Fig. 9; shows a centralized wireless network 421 comprising a primary wireless domain access point (WDAP_P 425)), said bridge device (WDAP_s 441) comprising a bridge module for managing a plurality of ports for connecting to respective other networks (see col. 10, lines 17-30, col. 20, lines 28-34, col. 24, lines 29-41 and col. 25, lines 8-10); said bridge device being adapted to be associated with said access point device (see col. 24, lines 36-40); wherein said bridge device comprises a link management module for associating with said access point device, said devices of networks connected to the bridge device other than the centralized wireless network, when said bridge device is associated to said access point device of the centralized wireless network (see col. 22, lines 29-35, col. 23, lines 23-29, col. 24, lines 29-41, col. 25, lines 8-10 and Fig. 9 [i.e., the spanning tree protocol contained at the bridge device (WDAP_s 441) reads on a link management module, since the spanning tree protocol is known in the art as a link management protocol and is

specifically implemented in the bridging device (WDAP $_{\rm s}$ 441) for monitoring communication traffic flow related to associations and disassociations of communication terminals in the centralized wireless network 421 and the wired networks 401 & 403]).

Regarding claim 2, Meier teaches all the limitations of claim 1. In addition, Meier further teaches a bridge device, further comprising means for determining a spanning tree for all networks attached to the device, comprising means for enabling or disabling the determination of the spanning tree (see col. 22, lines 29-35, col. 23, lines 23-29 and col. 24, lines 29-41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Meier**, **U.S. Patent Number 6,400,702 (hereinafter Meier)** as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of **Baker et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,570,366 (hereinafter Baker)**.

Regarding claim 3, Meier teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Meier fails to explicitly teach means for updating filtering tables for respective connected networks, said filtering tables comprising information for determining whether a message on a network is to be forwarded to another network or not, said updating using a process by default, comprising means for enabling or disabling the default process.

Baker, however, teaches a bridge-based access point comprising means for updating filtering tables for respective connected networks (see col. 4, line 52 through

col. 5, line 32, col. 6, lines 35-44 and Figures 1, 2 and 8), said filtering tables comprising information for determining whether a message on a network is to be forwarded to another network or not, said updating using a process by default (see col. 4, line 52 through col. 5, line 32 and col. 6, lines 35-44), comprising means for enabling or disabling the default process (see col. 5, lines 19-26 and Figures 1, 2 and 8).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Meier with Baker to include means for updating filtering tables for respective connected networks, said filtering tables comprising information for determining whether a message on a network is to be forwarded to another network or not, said updating using a process by default, comprising means for enabling or disabling the default process, in order to efficiently transfer filtering information concerning a mobile terminal from one access point to another when the mobile terminal moves from the network of the one access point to the network of the another access point as per the teachings of Baker (see col. 2, lines 44-49).

Regarding claim 4, Meier in view of Baker teaches all the limitations of claim 3. Baker further teaches a bridge device, wherein said default process is based on analysis of source address in messages detected on a respective network, comprising means for enabling or disabling message detection based updating (see col. 4, line 52 through col. 5, line 32 and col. 6, lines 35-44 and Figures 5-6 and 8).

Regarding claim 5, Meier in view of Baker teaches all the limitations of claim 3.

Baker further teaches a bridge device, further comprising means for updating a filtering table for a given network based on a device discovery process specific to said given

Art Unit: 2617

network (see col. 4, line 52 through col. 5, line 32 and col. 6, lines 35-44 and Figures 2 and 8).

Regarding claim 6, Meier in view of Baker teaches all the limitations of claim 3. Baker further teaches a bridge device, wherein said default process is enabled for an Ethernet network (see col. 3, lines 57-61 and col. 5, lines 19-32).

Regarding claim 7, Meier in view of Baker teaches all the limitations of claim 3.

Baker further teaches a bridge device, wherein said default process is disabled for a

USB network (see col. 3, lines 57-61 and col. 5, lines 19-32 [i.e. the limitation "said default process is disabled for a USB network" is met by Baker, since Baker teaches the enabling and disabling of a wired network which broadly reads on a USB network]).

Regarding claim 8, Meier in view of Baker teaches all the limitations of claim 1.

Baker further teaches a bridge device, further comprising means for generating a message to said link management module upon a filtering table amendment, said means for generating a message having an enabled state and a disabled state for each network (see col. 4, line 52 through col. 5, line 32 and col. 6, lines 35-44 and Figures 2 and 8).

Regarding claim 9, Meier in view of Baker teaches all the limitations of claim 8.

Baker further teaches a bridge device, wherein said means for generating a message are enabled for an Ethernet network (see col. 3, lines 57-61 and col. 5, lines 19-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,141 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY S. ADDY whose telephone number is (571)272-7795. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Eisen can be reached on 571-272-7687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. S. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Alexander Eisen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617